

ADR

ECP

JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-08)

## CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

## I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

WAITHIRIA NJENGA

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

FANIA E DAVIS  
400 Redwood Rd #371  
Oakland CA 94619  
(510) 451-0100 639-7096

## DEFENDANTS

SAN MATEO COUNTY, SAN MATEO OFFICE  
OF EDUCATION; JEAN HOLBROOK, et al.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE  
LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

## II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)   |

## III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Citizen of This State	PTF	DEF	PTF	DEF	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

## IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/> PERSONAL INJURY	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment
<input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane	<input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug	<input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal	<input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust
<input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	<input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking
<input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument	<input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury -- Product Liability		<input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce
<input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability		<input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation
<input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud		<input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
<input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	<input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending	<input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights	<input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit
<input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	<input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage	<input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent	<input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV
<input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits	<input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage	<input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark	<input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service
<input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury	<input type="checkbox"/> 395 Product Liability		<input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
<input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability				<input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
<input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise				<input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	SOCIAL SECURITY	<input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation	<input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	<input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff)	<input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure	<input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> Habeas Corpus: Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)	<input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters
<input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	<input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing / Welfare	<input type="checkbox"/> 530 General	<input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWV (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land	<input type="checkbox"/> 444 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty	<input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI	<input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination
<input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights		<input type="checkbox"/> Under Equal Access to Justice
	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition		<input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
IMMIGRATION	FEDERAL TAX SUITS			
	<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application		<input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 463 Habeas Corpus -- Alien Detainee		<input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS--Third Party	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions		26 USC 7609	

## V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened

Transferred from

 5 another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation

Appeal to District

 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment

## VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  
**Time 7 Civil Rights Act 1964; 42 USC § 1981; 42 USC § 1983**

Brief description of cause:

**Employment discrimination, hostile work environment, retaliation.**

## VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION  
UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  
**JURY DEMAND:  Yes  No**VIII. RELATED CASE(S)  
IF ANYPLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE  
"NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".**NONE**IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2)  
(PLACE AND SIGN IN ONE BOX ONLY)

DATE

8-21-08

SAN FRANCISCO/OAKLAND

SAN JOSE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

E-filing

1 FANIA E. DAVIS, California State Bar No. 87268  
 2 4100 Redwood Road #371  
 3 Oakland, California 94619

4 Telephone Number: (510) 451-0104 Ext. 2  
 5 Facsimile: (510) 451-5056  
 6 Email: [faniad@earthlink.net](mailto:faniad@earthlink.net)

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 8 WAITHIRA NJENGA

*FILED*

AUG 22 2008

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 OAKLAND

*File  
Rec'd  
ISS.  
(S)*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WAITHIRA NJENGA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SAN MATEO COUNTY; SAN MATEO  
 COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION; JEAN  
 HOLBROOK, In Her Individual and Official  
 Capacities; JEANNIE BOSLEY, In Her  
 Individual and Official Capacities; CAROL  
 PEX, In Her Individual And Official  
 Capacities; and DOES 1 THROUGH 10,

Defendants.

Case No: **C08-04019**  
**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR  
 VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS**

**Jury Trial Demanded**

[Hostile Work Environment, Gender-Based,  
 Race-Based, National Origin-Based, Color-  
 Based, Employment Discrimination;  
 Retaliation]

*ADR  
EDL*

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT  
 TO MAGISTRATE/JUDGE SENT  
 00-44-00000

## **I. JURISDICTION**

1. This action arises under Title 42 United States Code §1981. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by the provisions of 28 United States Code §§ 1331, 1343(1), (2), (3) and (4), and 2201, *et seq.*

2. The pendent jurisdiction of this Court is invoked over claims which arise under California law by virtue of the fact that such claims arise out of the same transaction or series of transactions or nucleus of operative facts as do the claims herein under federal constitutional and statutory law.

3. This action is brought to redress discrimination in employment motivated by race, color, national origin, gender, and age. This action is also brought to remedy retaliation for opposing employment discrimination. The unlawful acts and practices alleged herein occurred within this judicial district.

## II. PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Waithira Njenga, a female of Kenyan and African descent, began employment with the San Mateo County Office of Education in 1993. Her job title prior to termination in November, 2007 was Vocational Transition Specialist, assigned to the WorkAbility program

5. Defendant, County Of San Mateo (County), is, and at all times relevant hereto, has been a political subdivision of the State of California. Defendant San Mateo County Office of Education (SMCOE) is an agency and subdivision of San Mateo County providing public educational services to the citizens of the County.

6. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Jean Holbrook was employed by the County as Superintendent of the County Office of Education.

7. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Jeannie Bosley was employed by the County as Associate Superintendent of the County Office of Education.

8. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant Carol Pex was employed by the County as a Supervisor in the San Mateo County Office of Education.

9. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10. She therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend the complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is legally responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged, and that Plaintiff's losses as herein alleged were caused by such unlawful actions.

10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants herein was at all relevant times the agent, employee, or representative of the remaining Defendants, and was acting within the scope and course of such agency or relationship.

### **III. EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES**

11. Ms. Njenga filed a timely charge of discrimination on February 7, 2008 against Defendants with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (and simultaneously with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing). The EEOC issued its Notice of Right to Sue on June 23, 2008, a copy of which is attached herewith.

12. Ms. Njenga filed a timely tort claim with Defendant County. The claim was rejected on February 28, 2008. Rejection of the claim is attached herewith.

#### IV. FACTS

13. Ms. Njenga began employment with the San Mateo County Office of Education in 1993 as a Vocational Transition Specialist, assigned to the WorkAbility program. Her duties were to prepare high school level special education students for transition to life after school by

1 developing job competencies. Additionally, she developed job training sites at places of  
2 employment in a number of communities where she and training assistants along with the  
3 employer would offer on-the job training to students.

4       14. She was the only African employee and the only employee of African ancestry in  
5 the San Mateo office. From approximately 2003 until her 2007 termination, Plaintiff was  
6 continuously subjected to a hostile work environment, and a continuing course of discriminatory  
7 and retaliatory acts by Carol Pex, Caucasian, her supervisor, acts which were ratified and  
8 condoned by the rest of the Defendants.

9       15. During 2003 or thereabouts, Defendants took no action when Ms. Njenga told  
10 Carol Pex the Caucasian female janitor had made derogatory statements to Ms. Njenga based  
11 upon her race. Ms. Njenga also informed Ms. Pex that the janitor refused to clean Ms. Njenga's  
12 desk area.

13       16. Defendants further took no action when Ms. Njenga notified Carol Pex that her  
14 work area had not only been excluded from receiving regular janitorial services, but it had also  
15 been vandalized, with all Ms. Njenga's family pictures, African sculptures, images, and art work  
16 and other personal belongings strewn all over the floor. Ms. Njenga's desk was vandalized a  
17 second time in or around September 2006 without remediation by Defendants, even though a  
18 Black female manager surveying the scene concluded this was an act of racial harassment. (This  
19 manager was subsequently driven out by Defendants.)

20       17. Beginning in 2003 and continuing through academic year 2006-2007, Carol Pex  
21 refused to approve Ms. Njenga's orders for work supplies and furniture while routinely  
22 approving those of Ms. Njenga's non-African co-workers.

23       18. Defendant Pex routinely and continually ignored Ms. Njenga and excluded her  
24 from staff and other professional meetings.

1       19.   Defendant Pex assigned Ms. Njenga the least capable, least competent, and least  
2 committed assistants and assigned her insufficient teaching assistant hours.

3       20.   Defendants assigned Ms. Njenga to schools located in remote and disparate ends  
4 of the county. They also discriminated against Ms. Njenga in making summer job assignments.

5       21.   Defendants opposed and resisted Ms. Njenga's efforts to establish job sites in the  
6 predominantly African-American community of E. Palo Alto.

7       22.   Carol Pex made continually made derogatory remarks about Ms. Njenga's  
8 ancestry and nationality.

9       23.   Defendants discriminatorily refused to approve of Ms. Njenga's requests to attend  
10 professional conferences and meetings.

11       24.   Defendants refused to promote Ms. Njenga in the fall of 2006 and promoted a less  
12 qualified Caucasian female over Ms. Njenga.

13       25.   Ms. Njenga filed complaints of harassment and discrimination against the County  
14 in September 2003, October 2004, and an EEOC complaint on May 25, 2004.

15       26.   In March, 2007, Defendants falsely reported Ms. Njenga to police as a missing  
16 person with foul play suspected even though Ms. Njenga and her physicians informed  
17 Defendants she would be on post-operative medical leave for three to four months. As a result of  
18 the false missing person report, the police broke into Ms. Njenga's home, knocked Ms. Njenga's  
19 door down, and otherwise traumatized and terrorized Ms. Njenga and her family.

20       27.   On March 20, 2007, Ms. Njenga filed a grievance against SMCOE management  
21 for harassment and creating an unsafe work environment.

22       28.   After filing the grievance and during Ms. Njenga's recovery from surgery, Ms.  
23 Njenga received multiple harassing telephone calls from Defendant Jeannie Bosley, who defied  
24

1 doctor's orders to refrain from calling. This impeded Ms. Njenga's recovery from major  
2 surgery.

3 29. During grievance meetings in June 2007, Defendant Bosley issued false and  
4 unjustified oral and written reprimands against Ms. Njenga's union representative for  
5 misconduct. Defendant Bosley also issued false and unjustified discipline against Ms. Njenga for  
6 allegedly engaging in "serious" misconduct.  
7

8 30. On November 8, 2007, Ms. Bosley denied Ms. Njenga's application for unpaid  
9 sick leave. (Ms. Njenga needed an extension of sick leave because of doctors' opinions that Ms.  
10 Njenga's medical condition precluded her return to her work in view of the hostile environment.)  
11 Ms. Bosley terminated Ms. Njenga effective this date.  
12

#### V. DAMAGES

14 31. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered severe emotional and mental  
15 distress, fear, terror, anxiety, humiliation, shame, embarrassment, and a loss of her sense of  
16 security, dignity and pride as an American citizen. Plaintiff's attending psychologist  
17 has diagnosed depression, anxiety and Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. Plaintiff suffers  
18 recurrent intrusive thoughts and distressing dreams about the workplace hostility to which she  
19 was subjected over the years. She also suffers irritability, profuse perspiration, low energy,  
20 headaches, gastrointestinal disorders, and social withdrawal. Additionally, she has feelings of  
21 despondency and hopelessness as well as appetite disturbance, sleep disturbance, low self-  
22 esteem, and impaired concentration.  
23  
24

25 32. As a further result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has incurred medical expenses  
26 and other damages.  
27  
28

33. Defendants' conduct was outrageous, reckless, malicious, and oppressive. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of punitive damages against the individual Defendants on this basis.

34. Plaintiff found it necessary to engage the services of private counsel to vindicate his rights under the laws. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an award of all attorney's fees and costs incurred in relation to this action for violation of her civil rights.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION AND HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT**  
**BASED UPON RACE, COLOR, NATIONAL ORIGIN, GENDER**  
**(California Government Code, § 12940 et seq)**

**(Against San Mateo County, San Mateo County Office of Education, Carol Pex)**

35. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all foregoing paragraphs.
36. Defendants intentionally, willfully, and without justification discriminated and created a hostile work environment against Plaintiff on the grounds of her color, Black, her race, African, her national origin, Kenyan, and her gender, female, and on the basis of any combination of the foregoing factors, by harassing her, discriminatorily failing to promote, by subjecting her to racist remarks, disparate treatment in the terms and conditions of her employment, subjecting her to false discipline, isolating her, and terminating her, all without justification, thereby depriving Plaintiff of her rights under California Government Code, § 12940 et seq., and causing her to suffer damages as set forth in preceding paragraphs.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**RETALIATION**

37. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all foregoing paragraphs.
38. Defendants intentionally, willfully, and without justification retaliated against plaintiff for expressing opposition to workplace discrimination, thereby depriving her of equal

1 employment opportunity in violation of California Government Code, § 12940 et seq., causing  
2 her damage as set forth in preceding paragraphs.

3                   **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

4                   **UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION AND HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT**  
5                   **BASED UPON RACE, COLOR, NATIONAL ORIGIN, GENDER, AND AGE**

(Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, Amended)

(Against San Mateo County, San Mateo County Office of Education, Carol Pex)

6                 39. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all foregoing paragraphs.

7                 40. Defendants intentionally, willfully, and without justification discriminated and  
8 created a hostile work environment against Plaintiff on the grounds of her color, Black, her race,  
9 African, her national origin, Kenyan, and her gender, female, and on the basis of any  
10 combination of the foregoing factors, by harassing her, discriminatorily failing to promote, by  
11 subjecting her to racist remarks, disparate treatment in the terms and conditions of her  
12 employment, subjecting her to false discipline, isolating her, and terminating her, all without  
13 justification, thereby depriving Plaintiff of her rights under California Government Code, §  
14 12940 et seq., causing her damage as set forth in preceding paragraphs.  
15

16                   **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

17                   **RETALIATION**

18                   (Title VII, 1964 Civil Rights Act, Amended)

19                   (Against Defendants San Mateo County and San Mateo County Office of Education)

20                 41. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all foregoing paragraphs.

21                 42. Defendants intentionally, willfully, and without justification retaliated against  
22 Plaintiff for expressing opposition to workplace discrimination, thereby depriving her of equal  
23 employment opportunity in violation of Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, Amended, and  
24 causing damage as set forth in preceding paragraphs.  
25

26                 //

27                 //

28

**FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION****FAILURE TO PREVENT UNLAWFUL DISCRIMINATION AND HARASSMENT**

(California Government Code, § 12940, et seq.)

**(Against Defendants San Mateo County and San Mateo County Office of Education)**

43. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all foregoing paragraphs.

44. FEHA requires employers to take all reasonable steps to prevent harassment, such as instituting employers of policies, procedures and practices which incorporate effective remedial procedures, appropriate training, monitoring, and taking appropriate corrective and disciplinary measures.

45. Defendants failed to take corrective action to prevent and remediate racial and retaliatory harassment and other unlawful discrimination, in violation of FEHA, Cal. Gov. Code §12940.

46. Defendant PHS' policies, procedures and practices were inadequate for prevention and remediation of discrimination and harassment. If such policies, procedures and practices existed, employees, including supervisors, were unaware of or insufficiently trained in them.

47. Once made aware of the hostile and abusive conduct toward Plaintiff, Defendants not only failed to take measures to prevent additional harassment, but made matters worse by escalating harassment against Plaintiff, causing damage as set forth in preceding paragraphs.

**SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION****DEPRIVATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS**

(42 U.S.C. Section 1983)

**(Against Defendants San Mateo County and San Mateo County Office of Education)**

48. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all foregoing paragraphs.

49. In subjecting Plaintiff to racial, national origin, gender and age discrimination and retaliation, Defendants deprived Plaintiff of her civil rights, in violation of the U.S. Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983, causing damage as set forth in preceding paragraphs.

28 //

**SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS WHILE IN EMPLOYMENT**

(42 U.S.C. § 1981)  
(Against All Defendants)

50 Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all foregoing paragraphs.

51. In subjecting Plaintiff to racial, national origin, gender discrimination and retaliation, Defendants deprived Plaintiff of her civil rights, in violation of the U.S. Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1981, causing her damage as set forth in preceding paragraphs.

## **PRAAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

- 1) General damages according to proof;
  - 2) Special damages according to proof;
  - 3) Punitive damages against individual Defendants according to proof;
  - 4) Reasonable attorney's fees;
  - 5) Costs of suit incurred herein; and
  - 6) Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: August 21, 2008

FANIA E. DAVIS  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

## **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury in this action.

Dated: August 21, 2008

**FANIA E. DAVIS**  
Attorney for Plaintiff

County Manager's Office



**COUNTY OF SAN MATEO**

COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER • REDWOOD CITY • CALIFORNIA 94063-1662  
WEB PAGE ADDRESS: <http://www.co.sanmateo.ca.us>

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
MARK CHURCH  
JERRY HILL  
RICHARD S. GORDON  
ROSE JACOBS GIBSON  
ADRIENNE TISSIER

JOHN L. MALTBIE  
COUNTY MANAGER  
CLERK OF THE BOARD

(650) 363-4123  
FAX: (650) 363-1916

February 28, 2008

Waithira Njenga  
c/o Fania E. Davis, Ph.D. Attorney at Law  
445 Bellevue Avenue, Suite 202  
Oakland, CA 94610

Notice is hereby given that your claim, which was received in the office of the Board of Supervisors on February 4, 2008, was presented to the Board of Supervisors on February 26, 2008, and rejected in its entirety by said Board.

**WARNING:** Subject to certain exceptions, you have only six (6) months from the date this notice was personally delivered or deposited in the mail to file a state court action on this claim. (See Government Code Section 945.6)

You may seek the advice of an attorney of your choice in connection with this matter. If you do desire to consult an attorney, you should do so immediately.

If you have any questions, please contact San Mateo County Risk Management at (650) 363-4611.

Very truly yours,

John L. Maltbie, County Manager /  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

JLM:mlp

cc: Donna Vaillancourt, Director, Human Resources Department  
Keith A. Hillegass Company, Inc.



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division  
NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE  
WITHIN 90 DAYS

CERTIFIED MAIL  
5054 8674950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Karen Ferguson, EMP, PHB, Room 4239  
Washington, DC 20530Ms. Waithira Njenga  
345 Sheridan Avenue  
Apt. 211  
Palo Alto, CA 94306

June 23, 2008

Re: EEOC Charge Against San Mateo City Office of Education  
No. 550200800888

Dear Ms. Njenga:

Because you filed the above charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the Commission has determined that it will not be able to investigate and conciliate that charge within 180 days of the date the Commission assumed jurisdiction over the charge and the Department has determined that it will not file any lawsuit(s) based thereon within that time, and because you have specifically requested this Notice, you are hereby notified that you have the right to institute a civil action under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000e, et seq., against the above-named respondent.

If you choose to commence a civil action, such suit must be filed in the appropriate Court within 90 days of your receipt of this Notice. If you cannot afford or are unable to retain an attorney to represent you, the Court may, at its discretion, assist you in obtaining an attorney. If you plan to ask the Court to help you find an attorney, you must make this request of the Court in the form and manner it requires. Your request to the Court should be made well before the end of the time period mentioned above. A request for representation does not relieve you of the obligation to file suit within this 90-day period.

This Notice should not be taken to mean that the Department of Justice has made a judgment as to whether or not your case is meritorious.

Sincerely,

Grace Chung Becker  
Acting Assistant Attorney General  
Civil Rights Division

by

Karen L. Ferguson  
Supervisory Civil Rights Analyst  
Employment Litigation Section

cc: San Francisco District Office, EEOC  
San Mateo City Office of Education